# Electrodeposition of Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coatings

MA Li(马莉)<sup>1</sup>, ZHOU Ke-chao(周科朝)<sup>1</sup>, LI Zhi-you(李志友)<sup>1</sup>, WEI Qiu-ping(魏秋平)<sup>2</sup>

1. Key State Laboratory of Powder Metallurgy, Central South University, Changsha 410083, China;

2. School of Materials Science and Engineering, Central South University, Changsha 410083, China

© Central South University Press and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2010

**Abstract:** Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coatings were electrodeposited using cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB)-modified Watt's nickel bath with Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles dispersed in it. The effects of the plating parameters on the chemical composition, structural and morphological characteristics of the electrodeposited Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coatings were investigated by energy dispersive X-ray (EDS) spectroscopy, X-ray diffractometry (XRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The results reveal that Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles can be codeposited in the Ni-Co matrix. The codeposition of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles with Ni-Co is favoured at high Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particle concentration and medium stirring, and the deposition of Co is favoured at high concentration of CTAB. Moreover, the study of the textural perfection of the deposits reveals that the presence of particles leads to the worsening of the quality of the observed <220> preferred orientation. Composites with high concentration of embedded particles exhibit a preferred crystal orientation of <111>. The more the embedded Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles in the metallic matrix, the smaller the sizes of the crystallite for the composite deposits.

Key words: electrodeposition; Co-Ni alloy; Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles; composite coatings; cetyltrimethylammonium bromide

# **1** Introduction

Composites of a metallic matrix containing a dispersion of second-phase particles have attracted extensive attention from science and technology communities for decades, due to the special properties e.g., high hardness [1–2], good wear resistance [1–4], self-lubrication [5], high temperature oxidation and corrosion resistance [6–11].

Ni-based alloys are used in a wide variety of applications such as aerospace, energy generation and corrosion protection. They are especially applicable to the environment where materials are required to withstand high temperatures and oxidation conditions [12–13]. In order to further improve the high temperature and corrosion properties of the Ni- or Ni-based alloy, many electrodeposited metallic Ni and Ni-based coating incorporated with second-phase such as ceramic or metallic particles including ZrO<sub>2</sub> [8], Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [9], Al [10] and Cr [11], were investigated.

Although the electrocodeposition process has been investigated for a long time, the mechanism of particle incorporation is not fully understood. The composition, structure and properties of the composite films are affected by several correlated parameters, such as the composition and particle concentration of the plating bath, the characteristics of the particles, temperature, pH, agitation, type of applied current, and current density.

Ni-Co alloy has a variety of merits such as good adhesion, low stress, good corrosion resistance, and good thermal stability. Thus, it is a popular choice in many industries. For the purpose of broadening the application fields of the Ni-Co alloy-based electrodeposited coatings as a potential candidate for high temperature oxidationresistant and anticorrosive coating in the extreme environments containing water or other corrosive compounds, a method of incorporating Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles in electrodeposited Ni-Co alloy coating was put forward. It is expected that Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles can react with NiO at high temperature, producing NiFe2O4 spinel phase, which has compact structure, good thermal stability and high temperature conductivity, and greatly improve the high temperature oxidation and corrosion resistance. Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coatings were prepared via direct current electrodeposition technology in a Ni-Co plating bath containing Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles in this work. The main goal of this work was to investigate the effects of plating parameters (e.g., particle loading in bath, stirring speed and surfactant concentration) on the chemical composition, structural and morphological characteristics of electrodeposited Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coatings.

Received date: 2009-10-29; Accepted date: 2010-03-10

Foundation item: Project(2005CB623703) supported by the National Key Basic Research Program of China; Project(50474051) supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China; Project(CX2009B032) supported by Innovation Foundation for Postgraduate of Hunan Province of China; Project(ZKJ2009024) supported by the Precious Apparatus Open Share Foundation of Central South University, China; Project(2009ybfz02) supported by Excellent Doctor Support Fund of Central South University, China

Corresponding author: ZHOU Ke-chao, Professor; Tel: +86-731-88836264; E-mail: zhoukc2@web-mail.csu.edu.cn

# 2 Experimental

#### 2.1 Coatings electrodeposition

The Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composites were deposited on red copper samples with the size of 30 mm  $\times$  30 mm  $\times$  2 mm. The plating bath was a traditional Watt's style containing 250 g/L NiSO<sub>4</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, 45 g/L NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, 3 g/L CoSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, 35 g/L H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> with/without the addition of cetyltrimetylammonium (CTAB). The concentration of CTAB was varied from 0 to 0.14 g/L. The morphology of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powders before treatment is shown in Fig.1. The average particle size of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powders was 1.4 µm, tested by a laser particle sizer (Zatasizer nano-ZS, Malvern). Prior to plating, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles were dispersed in the electrolyte in the presence of CTAB. All the baths were rinsed and prepared using deionized water and analytical reagent grade chemicals, respectively. The bath temperature was kept at (45±3) °C. The pH of the bath was adjusted to 4.5 using H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> or ammonia. The substrates were sequentially ultrasonically cleaned in ethanol, acetone, and distilled water, each for 10 min, then activated in 1:1 (volume ratio) H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> for 30 s, washed with distilled water, and finally immersed immediately in the plating bath to allow the electrodeposition of the target composite coatings. During the deposition process, the plating electrolyte was agitated with a magneton stirring. The depositing current density was 2.5  $A/dm^2$  with a depositing time of 120 min.



Fig.1 SEM image of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles before treatment

#### 2.2 Coatings characterization

The surface morphology of the composite electrodeposits was characterized with SEM (JSM– 5600). The composition of the electrodeposits was determined by EDS (energy dispersive X-ray spectroscope, Oxford Link ISIS 300). The structure of deposits was measured using an X-ray diffractometer (RIGAKU/MAX–3A) with Cu K<sub>a</sub> radiation ( $\lambda$ =0.154 0 nm). The X-ray scan rate was 8 (°)/min and 2 $\theta$  ranged from 35° to 85°. The texture coefficient was analyzed using the X-ray diffraction pattern.

## **3** Results and discussion

# 3.1 Impacts of main processing parameters on coating composition

#### 3.1.1 Effects of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles concentration

The relationship between the content of the composite coating components (Co and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) and the concentration of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the plating bath at a current density of 2.5 A/dm<sup>2</sup> is shown in Fig.2. An overall increase trend of incorporation can be observed with increasing particles concentration in the bath. This result agrees with previous works [14-15] in the sense that particle content in the composite electrodeposits increases with respect to its increase in the bath. Codeposition mechanisms for the dispersion of the inert particles into metallic coatings have been developed for ages. Many theories have been proposed in order to explain this mechanism of composite electrodepositing course [16-18]. Among them, the mechanism proposed by GUGLIELMI [16] has been widely accepted. According to GUGLIEMI's model, the first step is the adsorption of metal ions onto the hard particles. This step is the loose adsorption, that is, particles have loose physical adsorption onto the cathode with a high degree of metal ion coverage. In this step, a layer of adsorbed ions and solvent molecules can form screening shell to hinder the interaction between the electrode and the particles. The second step is the strong adsorption, which is believed to be assisted with the electric field, whereby, a substantially electrochemical reaction produces a strong adsorption of powders onto the electrode. In this step, the metal ions discharge at the cathode, and subsequently the particles are entrapped in the metal matrix. Hence, an increase in the concentration of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles in the bath can increase the quantity of loosely adsorbed Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles on the cathode surface, which



Fig.2 Change in contents (mass fraction) of embedded  $Fe_2O_3$  particles and Co in composite coatings at different concentrations of  $Fe_2O_3$  particles in bath

results in an increase in the level of incorporation of  $Fe_2O_3$  particles in the coating. Furthermore, no evident differences can be observed for the Co contents of different particles concentrations in the bath, which indicates that  $Fe_2O_3$  addition has no obvious effect on the Co reduction at the cathode.

#### 3.1.2 Effects of stirring speed

The relationship between the contents of the composite coating components (Co and  $Fe_2O_3$ ) and stirring speed at a fixed bath Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particle concentration of 30 g/L is shown in Fig.3. The rate of particle incorporation visibly increases until it attains a maximum and then decreases gradually with a further increase in stirring speed. Adjusting stirring speed does not change Co concentration in the deposit obviously, as shown in Fig.3, which indicates that it does not have a remarkable influence on the Co<sup>2+</sup> reduction process. The decrease in the particle incorporation has previously been attributed to the collision effect [1]. When the number of the transferred particles is too large to be completely engulfed by the growing matrix, the free particles (e.g., neither adsorbed nor incorporated) collide with the incoming particles. This collision factor results in a decrease in the rate of incorporation. According to TIAN and CHENG [7], an increase in stirring speed would increase the degree of incorporation. However, excessive stirring may lead to a lower quantity of the particles in the metal deposit due to the vigorous hydrodynamic force in the electrolyte removing the particles from the cathode surface before they can be entrapped in the metal deposit. If the stirring speed is too low, particles in the bath may not be dispersed completely. If the stirring speed is too high, particles will not have sufficient time to be attached to the surface, and thus leading to the low particle incorporation.

#### 3.1.3 Effects of surfactants concentration

The effects of CTAB concentration on the content of the composite coating components (Co and  $Fe_2O_3$ ) are

shown in Fig.4. From the results of Fig.4, it is interesting to note that there is no significant increase in the incorporation of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles with CTAB addition; but a slight decreasing trend can be observed. Similar behavior can be observed in Ref.[15]. This can be associated with the decrease in the surface tension resulting from the surfactant addition [15, 19], which can cause changes in the cathode surface, and influence the forces that act over the particle as soon as incorporation takes place. In this case, CTAB can be adsorbed on the surfaces of the particles and the cathodes, therefore, decreasing its incorporation degree. Besides, MALFATTI et al [15] also mentioned that the surfactant adsorption on the particles surface can even change the hydrophobic behavior of the ceramic particles. The surfactants are usually adsorbed on the particle with their hydrophobic part, thus becoming the hydrophilic particle due to the surfactant layer that is adsorbed. It is necessary to consider that a hydrophilic particle, in order to incorporate, must be turned aside, avoiding the water molecules to adsorb on the particle surface. Another reason is due to a lower particle loading of 6 g/L, compared to the CTAB concentration of 0.12 g/L in the electrolyte solution. Excessive surfactant cannot disperse a low particle loading effectively, resulting in poor particle incorporation. In addition, a slight change of the particle incorporation level can be obtained at the surfactant concentration of 0.12 g/L. However, with further increase of CTAB concentration up to 0.14 g/L, a decreasing trend can be observed. These results might be due to the low particle loading and poor particle dispersion in the bath. Besides, it can be obtained from Fig.2 that the content of Co is as low as 6.5% in the absence of CTAB. And by increasing the concentration of CTAB, an increasing trend of Co content in the coatings can be observed. These can be ascribed to the fact that CTAB can promote Co<sup>2+</sup> reduction in the cathode, which results in an increase of Co content in the



Fig.3 Change in contents (mass fraction) of embedded  $Fe_2O_3$  particles and Co in composite coatings at different stirring speed levels



Fig.4 Change in contents (mass fraction) of embedded  $Fe_2O_3$  particles and Co in composite coatings at different CTAB concentrations

coatings.

#### 3.2 XRD patterns of Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coatings

The XRD patterns of the electrodeposited Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coatings obtained at bath loadings of 6, 30, 60 and 90 g/L Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are shown in The XRD patterns confirm Fig.5. that the electrodeposited Ni-Co alloy coating is composed of a solid solution. It should be noticed that the diffraction peaks of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are not detected due to low Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> contents in the composite coatings. For the purpose of determining the preferred crystalline orientation of the deposits and evaluating the quality of this orientation, the texture coefficient  $(C_{TC.(hkl)})$  is used, which is defined as [20]

$$C_{\text{TC, }(hkl)} = \frac{I_{(hkl)}/I_{0(hkl)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{(hkl)}/I_{0(hkl)}} \times 100\%$$
(1)

where  $I_{(hkl)}$  and  $I_{0(hkl)}$  are the diffraction intensities of the (hkl) plane measured in the diffractogram for the deposit and the standard Ni powder sample, respectively. There are only three basic reflection lines for Ni to be considered, i.e., (111), (200) and (220), since the diffraction lines of (222) and (400) are the second-order diffraction of (111) and (200) planes, respectively.  $C_{\text{TC},(hkl)}$  denotes the percentage of the relative intensity of a given orientation (hkl) among the three crystallographic orientations of each sample, while a preferred orientation of the (hkl) plane is indicated by a value of  $C_{\text{TC},(hkl)} >$ 33.3%.



**Fig.5** XRD patterns of electrodeposited Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coatings with different Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> concentrations: (a) 6 g/L; (b) 30 g/L; (c) 60 g/L; (d) 90 g/L

It is indicated from Fig.5 that different incorporation levels of  $Fe_2O_3$  particles cause some changes in the structure of Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coatings. The intensity of the diffraction peaks of Ni-Co in the composite coating decreases and the peak width is

enlarged with increasing the content of the particle incorporation. This is attributed to the decrease in the grain size of Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coating by increasing the concentration of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles in the plating bath, which is proved by the average grain sizes of the coatings calculated from the diffraction peak widths (with the instrumental width eliminated) using Scherrer equation [21], namely, the growth of the electrodeposited layer is a competition process between the nucleation and crystal growth. More Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles provide more nucleation sites and hence retard the crystal growth; subsequently the grain size of the corresponding Ni-Co matrix in the composite coating decreases. Fig.5 also suggests a slight change in the orientation of the grains in the coatings with different incorporation levels of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles in Ni-Co matrix. A careful analysis of the intensities of the diffraction lines (Table 1) shows that there is an obvious enhancement of the <111> and <100> orientations, and a clear reduction for the <110> orientation when the particle loading reaches 30 g/L. From this point of view, it should be noted that the enhancement of <111> and <100> crystalline orientations is concluded by the relative increase of (220) intensities. This effect can be attributed not only to the engulfing of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles in Ni matrix, but also to their physico-chemical interaction when approaching the cathode surface. The observed textural modifications may be due to the pH changes of the bath in the presence of the dispersed  $Fe_2O_3$  particles. H<sup>+</sup> adsorption-desorption phenomenon on the particle surface takes place depending on the pH of the electrolyte that finally leads to the inhibition of the reactivity of specific chemical species, which imposes specific modes of Ni crystal growth.

#### 3.3 SEM of Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> electrodeposits

The SEM images of Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coating are shown in Fig.6. This coating is electrodeposited from the plating bath containing 30 g/L Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles, pH=4.5, at stirring speed level of 3 and 0.12 g/L CTAB addition. Spherical-like growths distributed on the surface of the deposit can be obviously observed in Fig.6(a). From the observation of the accurately prepared deposits sections, it is indicated that Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles are inside the Ni-Co deposits, especially embedded into the spherical-like structures (Fig.6(b)). The EDS local analysis (Fig.6(c)) demonstrates the existence of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles. The investigations reveal that Ni<sup>2+</sup> deposition is not terminated by Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles while it deposits at the top of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles.

Fig.7 presents the SEM images of the Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coatings prepared at different particle loadings in the bath. It is evident from Figs.7(a), (c) and (e) that the codeposition of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles into Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

J. Cent. South Univ. Technol. (2010) 17: 708-714

Sample	FWHM (111)	Preferred orientation	<i>I</i> <sub>(111)</sub>	<i>I</i> <sub>(200)</sub>	<i>I</i> <sub>(220)</sub>	$C_{\mathrm{TC},(hkl)}$ /%		
						(111)	(200)	(220)
Standard Ni	0.246		100	43.2	18.0	33.3	33.3	33.3
Ni-Co+6 g/L Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.326	(111), (220)	100	6.8	22.2	41.8	6.6	51.6
Ni-Co+30 g/L Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.355	(111)	100	17.9	8.6	52.9	21.9	25.2
Ni-Co+60 g/L Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.378	(111)	100	18.1	8.5	52.9	22.1	25.0
Ni-Co+90 g/L Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.424	(111)	100	16.9	9.6	52.0	20.3	27.7

Table 1 Effects of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> concentration on full width at half maximum (FWHM) and texture coefficient of deposits



**Fig.6** SEM and EDS images of Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coatings (Mass fraction of embedded Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles is 6.3%): (a) SEM image of surface; (b) Magnified map of Fig.6(a); (c) Local EDS of square in Fig.6(b)

improved with increasing particles coating is concentration in the bath to 90 g/L. Many agglomerated  $Fe_2O_3$  particles can be found from Fig.7(a). This may be due to the fact that a comparatively high CTAB concentration (0.12 g/L) cannot disperse a low particle loading of 6 g/L effectively in the bath. Agglomerated particles are too large to reach the cathode under our limited experimental conditions or absorb loosely on the cathode, resulting in low particle incorporation level in the deposit. Figs.7(c) and (d) show that  $Fe_2O_3$  particles disperse uniformly and the content of particles is at a high level indicating from the plating bath containing  $60 \text{ g/L Fe}_{2}O_{3}$  particles. Additionally, as the incorporation of spherical-shaped Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> increases, the grain size of Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> decreases gradually as shown in Figs. 7(b), (d), and (f). The incorporation of µm-sized Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles modifies the film growth by providing additional nucleation surfaces. The initial conversion of the large particles leads to a fine-grained film similar to the initial film on the Cu substrate surface. Subsequently, crystals grow columnar around the individual units, but they are restricted by the growing crystals of the neighboring units, resulting in finer crystals in the coatings. This result is in a good agreement with the XRD results (Fig.5). In general, the results from XRD patterns (Fig.5 and Table 1) and SEM images (Figs.7(c) and (e)) show that the codeposited particles suppress the growth of (220) plane in the Ni-Co matrix to some extent, but promote the growth of (111) and (200) planes. As

mentioned previously, the electrochemical reactions lead to the deposition of metal atoms and involve generation of atomic and molecular hydrogen. Therefore, the crystallographic orientation changes since the metal's surface energy is modified by the adsorption of  $H^+$ .

## 4 Conclusions

(1) Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coating with mass fractions of 0.6%-10.2% Co, 0.3%-10.4% Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and 79.3%-99.1% Ni can be electrodeposited in the CTAB-modified Watt's Ni bath.

(2) The particle concentration increases with the increase of stirring speed firstly and then drops. As the particle concentration increases, the content of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles in the composite coatings also increases, and the maximum incorporation percentage of 10.4% (mass fraction) can be achieved at the stirring speed level of 3 and current densities of 2.5 A/dm<sup>2</sup>. Moreover, at a low particle loading of 6 g/L, there is a slight decrease in the incorporation of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles with the increase of CTAB concentration. The mass fraction of CTAB concentration.

(3) The SEM results reveal that Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coatings consist of a spherical-like structure with Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles embedded. The EDS local analysis for the selected region of the Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coatings demonstrates the existence of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles.

(a)

(c)

(e)



**Fig.7** SEM images of Ni-Co-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coatings prepared in Watt's bath with different concentrations of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles: (a), (b) 6 g/L; (c), (d) 60 g/L; (e), (f) 90 g/L

250 µm

(4) The XRD analysis shows that the presence of particles leads to the worsening of the quality of the observed  $\langle 220 \rangle$  texture. Composites with high concentration of embedded particles exhibit a preferred crystal orientation of  $\langle 111 \rangle$ . The more the embedded Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles in the metallic matrix, the smaller the crystallite sizes for composite deposits.

# References

- GAY P A, BERCOT P, PAGETTI J. Electrodeposition and characterisation of Ag-ZrO<sub>2</sub> electroplated coatings [J]. Surf Coat Technol, 2001, 140: 147–154.
- [2] ZIMMERMAN A F, PALUMBO G, AUST K T, ERB U. Mechanical properties of nickel silicon carbide nanocomposites [J]. Mater Sci Eng A, 2002, 328: 137–146.
- [3] HOU K H, GER M D, WANG L M, KE S T. The wear behaviour of

electro-codeposited Ni-SiC composites [J]. Wear, 2002, 253: 994–1003.

5 µm

- [4] CHEN W X, TU J P, WANG L Y, GAN H Y, XU Z D, ZHANG X B. Tribological application of carbon nanotubes in a metal-based composite coating and composites [J]. Carbon, 2003, 41: 215–222.
- [5] SHI L, SUN C F, ZHOU F, LIU W M. Electrodeposited nickel-cobalt composite coating containing sized Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> [J]. Mater Sci Eng A, 2005, 397: 190–194.
- [6] LI J, SUN Y, SUN X, QIAO J. Mechanical and corrosion-resistance performance of electrodeposited titania-nickel nanocomposite coatings [J]. Surf Coat Technol, 2005, 192: 331–335.
- [7] TIAN B R, CHENG Y F. Electrolytic deposition of Ni-Co-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coating on pipe steel for corrosion/erosion resistance in oil sand slurry [J]. Electrochimica Acta, 2007, 53: 511–517.
- [8] TAN Cheng-yu, LIANG Ying, XIA Chang-qing, ZHENG Zi-qiao. Electrodeposition behavior and high temperature oxidation resistance of Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> composite deposit [J]. Journal of Materials Protection, 2000, 33(10): 1–3. (in Chinese)
- [9] WU G, LI N, ZHOU D R, MITSUO K. Microstructure and

properties of Co-Ni-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coatings at the high temperature [J]. Acta Materiae Composite Sinica, 2004, 21(2): 8–13. (in Chinese)

- [10] ZHOU Y, PENG X, WANG F. Oxidation of a novel electrodeposited Ni-Al nanocomposite film at 1 050 °C [J]. Scripta Materialia, 2004, 50: 1429–1433.
- [11] ZHANG Y, PENG X, WANG F. Development and oxidation at 800 °C of a novel electrodeposited Ni-Cr nanocomposite film [J]. Materials Letters, 2004, 58: 1134–1138.
- [12] XU Li-jian, GONG Zhu-qing, TANG Jian-xin, HE Quan-guo, HE Nong-yue, DU Jing-jing. Ni-Cr alloy electrodepositing technology on Fe substrate and coating performance [J]. J Cent South Univ Technol, 2007, 14(2): 181–185.
- [13] YANG Yu-fang, GONG Zhu-qing, DENG Li-yuan, LUO Bei-ping, MA Yu-tian, YANG Zhen-hui. Electrodeposition of Ni-Cr alloy on aluminum substrate [J]. J Cent South Univ Technol, 2006, 13(3): 219–224.
- [14] THIEMIG D, BUND A. Characterization of electrodeposited Ni-TiO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite coatings [J]. Sur Coat Technol, 2008, 202: 2976–2984.
- [15] MALFATTI C F, VEIT H M, MENEZES T L, FERREIRA J Z,

RODRIGUES J S, BONONO J P. The surfactant addition effect in the elaboration of electrodepositated NiP-SiC composite coatings [J]. Sur Coat Technol, 2007, 201: 6318–6324.

- [16] GUGLIELMI N. Kinetics of the deposition of inert particles from electrolytic baths [J]. J Electrochem Soc, 1972, 119: 1009–1012.
- [17] WANG Sheng-chang, WEI Wen-cheng. Kinetics of electroplating process of sized ceramic particle/Ni composite [J]. Mater Chem Phys, 2003, 78: 574–580.
- [18] CELIS J P, ROSS J R, BUELENS C. A mathematical model for the electrolytic codeposition of particles with a metallic matrix [J]. J Electrochem Soc, 1987, 134: 1402–1408.
- [19] TERZIEVA V, FRANSAER J, CELIS J P. Codeposition of hydrophilic and hydrophobic silica with copper from acid copper sulfate bath [J]. J Electrochem Soc, 2000, 147: 198–202.
- [20] CHEN Li, WANG Li-ping, ZENG Zhi-xiang, XU Tao. Influence of pulse frequency on the microstructure and wear resistance of electrodeposited Ni-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite coatings [J]. Surf Coat Technol, 2007, 201: 599–605.
- [21] GULLITY B D. Elements of X-ray diffraction [M]. 2nd ed. London: Addison Wesley Publishing, 1978: 102.

(Edited by CHEN Wei-ping)